



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/399,540             | 09/20/1999              | NENAD IVEZIC         | 6321-147                | 2387                    |  |
| 7.                     | 590 07/16/2002          |                      |                         |                         |  |
| LOCKHEED MARTIN ENERGY |                         |                      | a EXAMINER              |                         |  |
| PO BOX 2009            | ORPORATION TN 378318243 |                      | FERRIS III, FRED C      |                         |  |
| OAK RIDGE,             | 110 370310243           |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
|                        |                         |                      | 2123                    |                         |  |
|                        |                         |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002 | DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

|  |  |  | 1            |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |              |  |  |  |
|  | 09/399,540   | IVEZIC ET AL.  | Y            |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |              |  |  |  |
|  | Fred Ferris  | 2123   |              |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover she  | et with the correspondence add   | iress        |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | 36(a). In no event, however, n<br>y within the statutory minimum<br>vill apply and will expire SIX (6<br>, cause the application to beco | nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) | mmunication. |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20.5  | September 1999 .   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th   | is action is non-final.  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allows   |  |  | e merits is  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <b>Disposition of Claims</b>  | Ex parte Quayle, 193   | 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |              |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application   | 1.   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   | _  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 1999</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | n priority under 35 U.S  | S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |              |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |  | .,,,,,,,,  |              |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents  | s have been received   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| $\_$ a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pro  | visional application h   | as been received.  | арричаноту.  |  |  |  |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti  | c priority under 35 U.   | 5.0. 99 120 and/or 121.  |              |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Inter   | view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s  | s)           |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4   | 5) 🔲 Notic   | e of Informal Patent Application (PTC  |              |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/399,540 Page 2

Art Unit: 2123

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-17 have been presented for examination. Claims 1-17 have been rejected by the examiner.

### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to because of margins (37 CFR 1.84(g)) and size of reference characters in Figures 1-7, (37 CFR 1.84(p)). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by "Modeling Supply-Chain Networks by a Multi-Agent System" F. Lin et al, Proceedings Systems Sciences, ISBN: 0-8186-8255-8, P105-114, Jan. 1998.

Independent claim 1 is drawn to:

agent based manufacturing simulation steps of:

modeling manufacturing processes via agent

programming agent to respond manufacturing events and trigger response

Art Unit: 2123

Regarding claim 1: Lin teaches a multiple agent based manufacturing simulation model where manufacturing processes are modeled via agents that are programmed to respond to manufacturing events and trigger a response.

(Abstract, Introduction, Figs. 1-5, Tables 1,1, Sec. 2, para1-line1-15, Sec. 4, para1-line1-7 & sub-sec. 1-4, Sec. 5, para1-line1-18, para3(all), para5(all), Sec. 6, sub-sec. 1-5, para2(all))

Dependent claims 2-7 are drawn to: transmitting events to agent conditioning (programming) agent to respond to events of; clock tick message, resources message, output production message programming where: agent places finished output process in stack (clock tick message) agent initiates **output production using process stack** (resources message) initiate production if adequate resources agents pass to associated agent upstream process in stack in response to event agents inspect process stack for adequate output (production message) inspect input stack if stack lacks adequate output request output production message (agent downstream) if lacks resources agents pass to associated agent upstream process output in response to event setting minimum output stack level corresponding to process agent produce replacement output in response to output below minimum level agent compares clock message with time corresponding to process and correlates agents place completed output in stack corresponding to process retrieve resources in stack corresponding to associated process **initiate production** of output **using resources** contained in stack pass agent associated with upstream process output in stack

Regarding claims 2-7: Lin teaches the **transmitting** (communication) of **events** (tasks) between agents (via message passing, Sec.4, sub-sec. 1-4) where agents are **conditioned** (programmed) to perform various **tasks** (**events**) in response to **time stepped** scheduling (clock tick) (Sec. 5, para2-line18-22, Table 2, Sec.4, sub-sec. 1-4)

Application/Control Number: 09/399,540 Page 4

Art Unit: 2123

of events relating to **resources** (inventory management) and **production** (production, capacity, and material planning). (Sec. 5, para5 (functions of agents)). Lin further teaches a model where agents initiate **output production** based on the availability of **adequate resources** under the control of **distributed** agents relating to order management, inventory (resources), production (**output production**), capacity, material planning (resources), shop, manufacturing, and management. (Sec. 5, para5 (functions of agents))

Lin also teaches a model where agents relate the different **processes** and activities relating to production, resources, movement of materials, etc. via **upstream** and **downstream** linkages (claims 4, 5, 7). (Sec. 3, para1, line9, sub-sec. 2 (roles of entities), sec. 5 (order management agent), sec. 6 ((2) Information Sharing Strategies)))

Claimed features relating to **stack operations** (claims 3-7) such as stack **inspection** (testing for a particular quantity or value), placing values (**retrieving resources**) on/off the stake (pushing/popping), setting **stake levels** (**minimum output**), multiple stacks (**process** and others), etc. are simply obvious use of well known computer programming techniques and inherent to any programmed simulation (including Lin).

Independent claim 8 is drawn to:
simulation of manufacturing process via agents with steps of:
receiving message from agent and identifying as, clock event, resources event,
production event; performing activity in response to event; and messaging adjacent
agent in response (handshake)

Regarding independent claim 8: As previously cited Lin teaches a multiple agent based manufacturing simulation model where manufacturing processes are modeled via agents and further teaches agents responding to, and performing an activity in response to, time stepped scheduling (clock tick) (Sec. 5, para2-line18-22, Table 2, Sec.4, sub-sec. 1-4) of events relating to resources (inventory management) and production (production, capacity, and material planning). (Sec. 5, para5 (functions of agents)). Lin further teaches the transmitting (communication) of events (tasks) between agents (via message passing, Sec.4, sub-sec. 1-4) where agents are conditioned (programmed) to perform various tasks (events). It is further obvious (and inherent in cited prior art) that the messaging agents would respond (handshake) in response to an adjacent message communication. (Lin teaches message passing between agents, Sec. 4, sub-sec. 4, line 7)

Dependent claims 9-12 are drawn to:

placing finished output in stack corresponding process (clock event)

initiating production output corresponding to process (resources event)

passing agent upstream process output produced

inspecting input stack corresponding to process
initiating production if stack has adequate
inspecting stack corresponding to process for adequate output
inspecting stack corresponding to process if lacks output
initiating production if stack has adequate resources to satisfy request
posting request for production message to agent downstream if lacking resources
passing agent upstream process output produced
identifying minimum output corresponding to process
producing replacement if output below minimum level

comparing and correlating clock event with time corresponding to process placing completed output in stack corresponding associated process retrieving resources in stack corresponding to process initiating production of output using resources in stack

Page 6

Art Unit: 2123

## passing to agent upstream output in output stack

Regarding dependent claims 9-12: As also previously cited, Lin discloses a model where agents initiate output production based on the availability of adequate resources under the control of distributed agents relating to order management, inventory (resources), production (output production), capacity, material planning (resources), shop, manufacturing, and management. (Sec. 5, para5 (functions of agents)) It is obvious in a manufacturing simulation model to initiate a production output based on the availability of adequate resources. (see Lin Sec. 5, para5 (functions of agents))

Lin further teaches a model where agents relate the different **processes** and activities relating to production, resources, movement of materials, etc. via **upstream** and **downstream** linkages (claims 4, 5, 7). (Sec. 3, para1, line9, sub-sec. 2 (roles of entities), sec. 5 (order management agent), sec. 6 ((2) Information Sharing Strategies)))

Also as further cited, the claimed features relating to **stack operations** (claims 9-12) such as stack **inspection** (testing for a particular quantity or value), placing values (**retrieving resources**) on/off the stake (pushing/popping), setting **stake levels** (**minimum output**), multiple stacks (**process** and others), etc. are simply obvious use of well known computer programming techniques and inherent to any programmed simulation (including Lin).

Regarding claims 13-17: Claims 13-17 merely relate to a computer apparatus programmed with a routine set of instructions stored in a fixed medium and means for the features outlined in previous claims. Theses claims are therefore rejected using the same reasoning as disclosed above.

### Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure, careful consideration should be given prior to applicant's response to this Office Action.
- U.S. Patent 6,108,662 issued to Hoskins et al teaches simulation of manufacturing process behavior.
- U.S. Patent 6,014,637 issued to Fell et al teaches agent based modeling and simulation.
- U.S. Patent 6,088,689 issued to Kohn et al teaches multiple agent based process architecture.

"Multi-Agent Simulation for Balancing of Assembly Lines", I. Praca, Proceeding IEEE, 0-7803-5704-3/99, teaches agent based manufacturing simulation.

"Use of Discrete Event Simulation to Validate an Agent Based Scheduling Engine", S. Biswas, Proceedings Winter Simulation Conference 2000, P1778-1782, teaches agent based event simulation.

Art Unit: 2123

"Simulation-Based Production Control in the Semiconductor Industry" M. Thiel,

Proceedings Winter Simulation Conference 1998, P1029-1033, teaches agent based

manufacturing simulation.

"Agent-based Control of Manufacturing Systems" L. Monostori, Proceedings IEEE 1999.

0-7803-5489-3/99, teaches agent based manufacturing simulation.

"Enterprise Modeling and Simulation Platform Integrating Manufacturing System and

Supply Chain" F. Kubota, Proceedings IEEE 1999, PIV-511-515, 0-7803-5731-0/99,

teaches agent based manufacturing modeling.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Fred Ferris whose telephone number is 703-305-9670

and whose normal working hours are 8:30am to 5:00pm Monday to Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

The Official Fax Numbers are:

After-final

(703) 746-7238

Official

(703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft

(703) 746-7240

Fred Ferris, Patent Examiner

Simulation and Emulation, Art Unit 2123

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Crystal Park 2, Room 5D53

Crystal City, Virginia 22202

Phone: (703) 305 - 9670

FAX:

(703) 305 - 7240

Fred.Ferris@uspto.gov

July 8, 2002

Page 8

Art Unit: 2123

Page 9

DR. HUGH IN. SONIES DR. HUGH IN. SONIER DR. AUGHT EXAMINER DR. AGET UNIT 2:123